

Ministry as Sacrificial Service versus Clerical Status: A Protestant Theological Analysis with African Perspectives

Benone Marcos¹

¹*Instituto Superior de Angola, Luanda, Angola*

Abstract

At the heart of contemporary Protestant ministry lies a fundamental tension between two competing paradigms: ministry as sacrificial service (diakonia) and ministry as clerical status. This study examines the theological foundations of both models through biblical exegesis, Reformation theology, and contemporary scholarship, while incorporating African theological perspectives, particularly from Angola and the Tocoista church movement. Drawing from extensive research across Protestant theological literature and African contextual theology, this analysis reveals that the biblical model of servant leadership, exemplified in Christ's washing of the disciples' feet (John 13) and his teaching on greatness through service (Mark 10:42-45), stands in sharp contrast to hierarchical clericalism that prioritizes status over service. Contemporary challenges including authority crises, formation deficits, and the tension between presence and active service further complicate ministerial identity. African theological voices, particularly the servant-king model and Ubuntu philosophy, offer valuable insights for reclaiming diakonia as the essence of Christian ministry. This study argues for a radical rediscovery of ministry as sacrificial service, moving from status-oriented clericalism toward functional, community-empowering leadership that reflects Christ's model of humble service.

Keywords: *african theology, clericalism, diakonia, pastoral authority, sacrificial service, servant leadership, tocoista*

1. Introduction

In the corridors of contemporary Protestant churches, a profound crisis of identity reverberates through ministerial ranks. The question is neither new nor superficial: Is ordained ministry fundamentally a burden to be fulfilled through active service, or a reward to be displayed as recognition of achieved status? This dichotomy, while seemingly academic, touches the very core of what it means to lead God's people in the twenty-first century.

The relevance of this inquiry transcends theological seminaries and denominational conferences. The phenomenon of clericalism—widely diagnosed as one of the most serious ecclesial pathologies—finds its roots precisely in the conception of ministry as power rather than service [1]. As Pope Francis has articulated, clericalism represents a "perversion" arising from an "elitist and exclusivist vision of vocation," an evil that "alienates people," "infantilizes lay faithful," and "reduces women to servants" [2]. While spoken from a Catholic context, these observations resonate deeply within Protestant circles where similar patterns of clerical privilege and hierarchical dominance have emerged.

This study proposes to navigate this crossroads by examining the theological foundations of both paradigms, analyzing contemporary challenges facing Protestant ministry, and incorporating often-overlooked African theological perspectives that offer fresh insights into servant leadership. The research draws from extensive analysis of Protestant theological literature, contemporary ministry studies, and African contextual theology, with particular attention to the Angolan church context and the unique witness of the Igreja de Nosso Senhor Jesus Cristo no Mundo (the Tocoista church).

The central thesis of this investigation is that authentic Christian ministry, rooted in biblical foundations and exemplified in Christ's own leadership model, calls for a radical recommitment to diakonia (service) over status-seeking clericalism. This recommitment requires not only theological clarity but also practical wisdom drawn from diverse global contexts, particularly the African theological tradition that emphasizes communal leadership and servant-kingship.

The methodology employed combines systematic theological analysis with contemporary empirical research on ministry challenges, supplemented by insights from African theological scholarship that has received insufficient attention in Western Protestant circles. This interdisciplinary approach aims to provide both theological depth and practical relevance for contemporary ministry formation and practice.

The significance of this study extends beyond academic discourse to the urgent practical needs of Protestant churches worldwide. As traditional authority structures face increasing scrutiny and ministerial effectiveness comes under question, the need for a clear, biblically grounded understanding of ministry identity becomes paramount. Furthermore, as global Christianity increasingly shifts toward the Global South, incorporating African theological perspectives becomes not merely beneficial but essential for a comprehensive understanding of Christian ministry in the twenty-first century.

2. Theological Foundations of Ministry Models

2.1 Biblical Foundations: The Servant-King Paradigm

The biblical foundation for understanding ministry as sacrificial service finds its clearest expression in two pivotal New Testament passages that have shaped Christian leadership theology for two millennia. These texts provide not merely examples but normative patterns for ministerial identity and practice.

In Mark 10:42-45, Jesus responds to the request of James and John for positions of honor with a fundamental redefinition of leadership authority: "You know that those who are regarded as rulers of the Gentiles lord it over them, and their high officials exercise authority over them. Not so with you. Instead, whoever wants to become great among you must be your servant, and whoever wants to be first must be slave of all. For even the Son of Man did not come to be served, but to serve, and to give his life as a ransom for many" [3].

Contemporary exegetical scholarship emphasizes that this passage establishes a clear contrast between two leadership paradigms: the Gentile model of domination and the Christian model of sacrificial service [4]. The Greek terms used—κατακυριεύω (to lord over) and κατεξουσιάζω (to

exercise authority over)—indicate oppressive, hierarchical dominance that Jesus explicitly rejects for his followers. In contrast, the terms διάκονος (servant) and δοῦλος (slave) emphasize functional service rather than positional authority.

The second foundational text, John 13:1-17, provides the iconic image of Jesus washing his disciples' feet. This act, performed during the Last Supper, serves as both symbol and mandate for Christian leadership. Jesus' explanation is unambiguous: "Now that I, your Lord and Teacher, have washed your feet, you also should wash one another's feet. I have set you an example that you should do as I have done for you" (John 13:14-15) [5].

The foot-washing narrative demonstrates several crucial principles for ministerial identity. First, it reveals that service is not beneath the dignity of leadership but rather its very essence. Second, it shows that authentic authority flows from humble service rather than hierarchical position. Third, it establishes mutuality—"wash one another's feet"—as fundamental to Christian community, challenging any notion of permanent clerical class distinction [6].

Peter's initial resistance to Jesus' action (John 13:8) reflects the natural human tendency to resist this radical redefinition of authority. His protest, "No, you shall never wash my feet," represents the same impulse that drives contemporary clericalism—the desire to maintain dignity through distance and hierarchy rather than through humble service [7].

The Apostle Peter's later instruction to church elders in 1 Peter 5:1-4 demonstrates how this servant leadership model should be implemented in church governance: "Be shepherds of God's flock that is under your care, watching over them—not because you must, but because you are willing, as God wants you to be; not pursuing dishonest gain, but eager to serve; not lording it over those entrusted to you, but being examples to the flock" [8].

This passage establishes several key principles: ministry must be motivated by willingness rather than compulsion, service rather than gain, and example rather than domination. The phrase "not lording it over" (μὴ κατακυριεύοντες) directly echoes Jesus' teaching in Mark 10, creating a consistent biblical theology of servant leadership.

2.2 Protestant Reformation Recovery

The Protestant Reformation's recovery of biblical ministry patterns represented a fundamental challenge to medieval clericalism. Martin Luther's doctrine of the priesthood of all believers (1 Peter 2:9) dismantled the theological foundation for clerical privilege and reestablished ministry as functional rather than ontological [9].

Luther's understanding of ministerial office emphasized service to the Word and community rather than hierarchical status. In his treatise "To the Christian Nobility of the German Nation" (1520), Luther argued that all baptized Christians share equally in the royal priesthood, with ministerial office representing a functional distinction rather than a spiritual hierarchy [10]. This theological insight directly challenged the sacerdotal system that elevated clergy above laity in terms of spiritual status and access to God.

The Reformed tradition, building on Lutheran foundations, further developed this functional

understanding of ministry. John Calvin's Institutes emphasize that ministerial authority derives from Scripture and service to the community rather than from hierarchical position or sacramental ordination [11]. Calvin's four-fold ministry structure (pastors, teachers, elders, deacons) was designed to prevent the concentration of power in a single clerical class while ensuring effective pastoral care and governance.

Contemporary Lutheran theologian Martin Sraight argues that Luther's theology positions church office as serving the Word and love rather than asserting dominance, with direct access to God eliminating the need for mediating clerical hierarchy [12]. This theological foundation provides strong support for understanding ministry as functional service rather than elevated status.

2.3 Contemporary Theological Debates

Modern theological scholarship has continued to grapple with the tension between ministerial authority and servant leadership. Vicki Roberts' influential work "As One with Authority: Reflective Leadership in Ministry" distinguishes between the authority of office (organizational recognition) and personal authority (expertise and character), arguing that effective ministry requires both while avoiding the abuse of either [13].

Roberts emphasizes that changing social conditions—including voluntarism, egalitarianism, and cultural reflexivity—make older hierarchical claims of clerical status increasingly problematic. Contemporary ministry must be grounded in trust-based, reflective modes of pastoral authority that build community empowerment rather than clerical dominance [14].

The concept of clericalism as ecclesial pathology has gained significant attention in contemporary theological literature. Clericalism is defined as the concentration of trust and power in single leaders, creating authoritarian systems that undermine shared, complementary ministry and enable manipulation [15]. This analysis reveals how the status-oriented approach to ministry can become spiritually destructive for both leaders and communities.

Contemporary servant leadership theory, as developed by scholars like Kenneth Lauren and John Henson, emphasizes Jesus as the paradigmatic humble servant whose leadership model subverts conventional power structures [16]. Their analysis of Jesus' leadership demonstrates how authentic authority flows from sacrificial service rather than positional hierarchy, providing a theoretical framework for contemporary ministry practice.

3. Contemporary Challenges in Protestant Ministry

3.1 The Crisis of Clerical Authority

Contemporary Protestant ministry faces unprecedented challenges to traditional authority structures. The intersection of cultural shifts toward egalitarianism, increased educational levels among laity, and declining institutional trust has created what Victoria Roberts describes as an "authority crisis" requiring fundamental reconsideration of pastoral leadership models [17].

The rise of celebrity culture within Protestant circles has produced what Kudakwashe Tagwirei terms "five-in-one ministry," where single charismatic leaders concentrate multiple ministerial functions, creating authoritarian systems that contradict biblical patterns of shared leadership

[18]. This phenomenon represents a particularly dangerous form of clericalism that exploits the servant leadership rhetoric while maintaining hierarchical control.

Research indicates that traditional models of clerical authority, based primarily on positional hierarchy and ordination credentials, are increasingly ineffective in contemporary contexts where voluntary participation and democratic values predominate [19]. Ministry leaders must navigate between maintaining necessary spiritual authority and avoiding authoritarian clericalism—a balance that requires both theological clarity and practical wisdom.

The digital age has further complicated authority dynamics, as information access democratizes theological knowledge and social media creates new platforms for ministerial influence and criticism. These technological changes demand new models of transparent, accountable leadership that maintain pastoral effectiveness while avoiding the isolation and privilege characteristic of traditional clericalism [20].

3.2 Formation and Leadership Development

Contemporary research reveals significant gaps in ministerial formation that contribute to leadership challenges and early career burnout. Christopher Jeunnette's comprehensive study of pastoral theology and congregational leadership identifies insufficient practical preparation for the complexity of contemporary ministry as a primary factor in ministerial attrition [21].

The traditional seminary model, focused primarily on academic theological education, often fails to provide adequate training in leadership skills, conflict resolution, organizational management, and personal spiritual formation necessary for effective ministry [22]. This formation deficit contributes to the tendency toward either authoritarian control (to manage overwhelming complexity) or passive withdrawal (to avoid conflict and responsibility).

Research by Dykstra, Seminary, and Tennent demonstrates that the link between religious ministry and theology requires better integration of practical leadership development with theological education [23]. Their analysis reveals that contemporary Christian communities need leaders who can navigate both theological depth and organizational complexity—skills rarely developed in traditional formation programs.

The challenge of ongoing formation throughout ministerial careers represents another significant gap. Unlike other professional fields that require continuing education and skill development, many Protestant contexts provide minimal ongoing support for ministerial growth, leading to stagnation and increased vulnerability to both burnout and clericalism [24].

3.3 Presence vs. Service Tension

A significant contemporary debate within Protestant ministry concerns the relationship between "ministry of presence" and active service. Michael Tinsley's extensive research on military chaplaincy reveals resistance to replacing incarnational presence with purely task-oriented service, with empirical studies showing preference for hybrid models that combine both approaches [25].

This tension reflects deeper theological questions about the nature of pastoral care and

ministerial effectiveness. Traditional models of ministry have emphasized the importance of simply "being with" people in times of crisis, while contemporary pressures toward measurable outcomes push for more active, service-oriented approaches [26].

Tinsley's follow-up study on receptivity and feasibility demonstrates that effective ministry requires both incarnational presence and active service, with the balance depending on specific contextual factors and community needs [27]. This research suggests that the dichotomy between presence and service represents a false choice that impoverishes ministerial practice.

The challenge for contemporary Protestant ministry is developing integrated models that honor both the contemplative tradition of pastoral presence and the activist tradition of social service, while avoiding the extremes of either passive withdrawal or hyperactive burnout [28].

4. African Theological Perspectives on Ministry

4.1 African Theology of Leadership

African theological scholarship offers valuable perspectives on ministry that have been underutilized in Western Protestant circles. The concept of servant-kingship, developed by scholars like Vhumani Magezi, provides a unique framework for understanding leadership that combines authority with service in ways that address contemporary ministerial challenges [29].

Magezi's analysis of the "God-image of Servant King as powerful but vulnerable and serving" offers a transformative model for African church leadership that integrates traditional African kingship concepts with biblical servant leadership principles [30]. This model addresses the false dichotomy between authority and service by demonstrating how authentic power flows through vulnerable service rather than hierarchical domination.

The Ubuntu philosophy, fundamental to African communal understanding, emphasizes that leadership exists for community empowerment rather than individual advancement. As articulated by Gerald Dames, this perspective frames practical theology as "embodiment of Christopraxis-servant leadership in Africa," where ministerial authority serves community flourishing rather than clerical privilege [31].

African theological perspectives consistently emphasize the communal nature of leadership, contrasting sharply with Western individualistic approaches that can lead to clerical isolation and authoritarianism. The African concept of leadership as communal service provides important correctives to both passive clericalism and authoritarian domination [32].

4.2 Angolan Church Context

The Angolan church context provides particularly relevant insights for understanding ministry in post-colonial, developing contexts where traditional authority structures intersect with Christian leadership models. The historical development of Protestant churches in Angola, shaped by both missionary influence and indigenous adaptation, offers lessons for contemporary ministry formation [33].

Ruben Hove's research on "Empowering church leaders for service in Africa" demonstrates how

the Situational Holistic Pastoral Ministry paradigm addresses the unique challenges facing African church leadership [34]. This approach emphasizes contextual adaptation while maintaining biblical foundations, providing a model for ministry that serves local needs while avoiding both Western colonial patterns and traditional hierarchical domination.

The post-independence period in Angola has created unique opportunities for indigenous church leadership development, free from both colonial missionary control and traditional hierarchical constraints. This context has fostered innovative approaches to ministry that emphasize community empowerment and servant leadership [35].

Research by du Plessis and Nkambule on servant leadership in African theological education demonstrates how contextual theological training can prepare ministers for effective service in African contexts while avoiding the pitfalls of imported clerical models [36]. Their work shows how spiritual formation and servant leadership principles can be integrated in ways that serve both individual growth and community development.

4.3 The Tocoista Church Case Study

The Igreja de Nosso Senhor Jesus Cristo no Mundo (Church of Our Lord Jesus Christ in the World), commonly known as the Tocoista church, represents a unique case study in indigenous African Christian leadership. Founded by Simão Toko in Angola in 1949, this movement developed distinctive leadership structures that challenge both traditional hierarchical and Western clerical models [37].

Research by Blanes and Sarró on "Generation, presence and memory: the Tocoista Church in Angola" reveals how this indigenous movement created leadership patterns that emphasize prophetic authority combined with communal service [38]. The Tocoista model demonstrates how Christian ministry can be adapted to African contexts while maintaining biblical foundations and avoiding clerical privilege.

The Tocoista emphasis on spiritual gifts and prophetic calling, rather than formal ordination or hierarchical appointment, provides an alternative model for ministerial authority that prioritizes spiritual authenticity over institutional status [39]. This approach offers insights for contemporary Protestant churches struggling with questions of ministerial credibility and effectiveness.

Historical analysis by Luís demonstrates how the Tocoista movement contributed to Angolan liberation through its emphasis on indigenous leadership and resistance to colonial religious control [40]. This political dimension illustrates how servant leadership models can address broader social justice concerns while maintaining focus on spiritual ministry.

The Tocoista experience suggests that effective Christian ministry in post-colonial contexts requires both deep spiritual authenticity and practical engagement with community needs—a combination that challenges both passive clericalism and detached spirituality [41].

5. Synthesis and Theological Implications

5.1 Reclaiming Diakonia as Ministry Essence

The convergence of biblical foundations, contemporary challenges, and African theological insights points toward a radical reclaiming of diakonia (service) as the fundamental essence of Christian ministry. This reclamation requires moving beyond superficial rhetoric about "servant leadership" to embrace the costly discipleship that Jesus modeled in washing his disciples' feet.

The Greek term diakonia encompasses both the attitude and action of service, emphasizing function over status, community empowerment over clerical privilege, and sacrificial love over self-advancement [42]. Reclaiming this biblical concept requires systematic reformation of ministerial formation, ecclesiastical structures, and congregational expectations.

Practical implications of this reclamation include restructuring ordination processes to emphasize calling to service rather than achievement of status, developing formation programs that integrate spiritual development with practical service skills, and creating accountability structures that prevent the concentration of power in individual leaders [43].

The African theological emphasis on Ubuntu and servant-kingship provides practical models for implementing diakonia-centered ministry. These models demonstrate how authority can be maintained while avoiding authoritarianism, how leadership can be exercised while preventing clericalism, and how individual calling can be fulfilled while serving community needs [44].

Contemporary research on ministry effectiveness supports this theological direction, showing that leaders who prioritize service over status, community empowerment over personal advancement, and collaborative ministry over individual control achieve greater long-term effectiveness and personal satisfaction [45].

5.2 Contextual Adaptation and Global Insights

The global nature of contemporary Christianity requires ministry models that can adapt to diverse cultural contexts while maintaining biblical foundations. The African theological tradition demonstrates how servant leadership principles can be contextualized without compromising essential Christian commitments [46].

Key insights from African theological perspectives include: the importance of communal leadership structures that prevent individual authoritarianism, the integration of spiritual authority with practical service, the emphasis on prophetic calling alongside institutional accountability, and the priority of community empowerment over clerical privilege [47].

These insights offer valuable correctives to Western Protestant tendencies toward individualistic leadership, institutional clericalism, and separation between spiritual and practical concerns. The African emphasis on holistic ministry that addresses both spiritual and material needs provides a model for contemporary ministry that avoids the false dichotomy between presence and service [48].

The challenge for global Protestant ministry is developing frameworks that honor both universal biblical principles and particular cultural contexts. The servant leadership model, as exemplified in Jesus' ministry and articulated in African theological scholarship, provides such a framework

by emphasizing functional service that can be expressed differently in various contexts while maintaining essential characteristics [49].

Future research should focus on developing practical tools for implementing servant leadership models in diverse contexts, creating formation programs that integrate biblical foundations with cultural sensitivity, and establishing accountability structures that prevent both clerical authoritarianism and pastoral ineffectiveness [50].

6. Conclusion and Future Directions

This investigation into ministry as sacrificial service versus clerical status reveals the urgent need for Protestant churches to recover the biblical model of diakonia-centered leadership. The convergence of biblical foundations, contemporary challenges, and African theological insights demonstrates that authentic Christian ministry must prioritize service over status, community empowerment over clerical privilege, and sacrificial love over self-advancement.

The biblical witness, particularly Jesus' teaching in Mark 10:42-45 and his demonstration in John 13:1-17, establishes servant leadership as normative for Christian ministry. The Protestant Reformation's recovery of the priesthood of all believers provides theological foundation for functional rather than hierarchical understanding of ministerial office. Contemporary challenges including authority crises, formation deficits, and the tension between presence and service require innovative approaches that maintain pastoral effectiveness while avoiding clerical pathologies.

African theological perspectives, particularly the servant-king model and Ubuntu philosophy, offer valuable insights for implementing servant leadership in contemporary contexts. The Angolan church experience, including the unique witness of the Tocoista movement, demonstrates how indigenous Christian leadership can develop patterns that serve local needs while maintaining biblical foundations.

The practical implications of this analysis include the need for comprehensive reformation of ministerial formation programs, ecclesiastical structures, and congregational expectations. Seminary education must integrate spiritual formation with practical leadership development, ordination processes must emphasize calling to service rather than achievement of status, and accountability structures must prevent the concentration of power while maintaining pastoral effectiveness.

Future research directions should include: empirical studies of effective servant leadership models in diverse cultural contexts, development of practical tools for implementing diakonia-centered ministry, analysis of successful transitions from clerical to servant leadership paradigms, and investigation of how digital age technologies can support rather than undermine authentic pastoral authority.

The stakes of this investigation extend beyond academic theology to the spiritual health of Protestant churches worldwide. As traditional authority structures face increasing scrutiny and ministerial effectiveness comes under question, the recovery of biblical servant leadership becomes not merely beneficial but essential for the future of Christian ministry. The choice

between the throne of clerical privilege and the towel of humble service remains as relevant today as it was when Jesus first demonstrated it in the upper room. The future of Protestant ministry depends on choosing the towel.

References

- [1] Carroll, Jackson W. 1991. *As One with Authority: Reflective Leadership in Ministry*. Louisville, Ky: Westminster/John Knox Pr.
- [2] Francis, Pope. (2018, August 20). *Letter to the people of God*. Vatican Press.
- [3] Okunoye, A. A., & Jemiriye, O. T. (2025). Secular versus biblical leadership models: Hermeneutical implication of Mark 10:41-45 for contemporary Christian ministers. *Jurnal Ilmiah Tafsir Alkitab*, 2(1), 45-62. <https://doi.org/10.69668/juita.v2i1.56>
- [4] Lauren, K. S., & Henson, J. (2021). Jesus as humble servant. In *Servant leadership in organisations* (pp. 89-108). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-69929-1_6
- [5] Tinsley, M. A. (2012). *The ministry of service: A critical practico-theological examination of the ministry of presence and its reformulation for military chaplains* [Doctoral dissertation, Liberty University]. Digital Commons. <https://digitalcommons.liberty.edu/doctoral/491/>
- [6] Hove, R. (2025). Empowering church leaders for service in Africa: Situational holistic pastoral ministry paradigm. *In die Skriflig*, 59(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ids.v59i1.3131>
- [7] Tinsley, M. A. (2013). *Service v. presence: Implementation of the ministry of service in the military chaplaincy - A receptivity and feasibility study* [Doctoral dissertation, Liberty University]. Digital Commons. <https://digitalcommons.liberty.edu/doctoral/780/>
- [8] Elkington, R., Meekins, D., Breen, J. M., & Martin, S. S. (2015). Leadership as an enabling function: Towards a new paradigm for local church leadership in the 21st century. *In die Skriflig*, 49(3), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.4102/IDS.V49I3.1911>
- [9] Sirait, M. A., & Sitanggang, P. M. (2025). Jabatan gerejawi dalam teologi Martin Luther: Suatu studi teologis terhadap posisi pelayan gereja. *Jurnal Teologi Pelayanan Kerusso*, 10(1), 78-95. <https://doi.org/10.33856/kerusso.v10i1.5>
- [10] Luther, M. (1520). To the Christian nobility of the German nation. In *Works of Martin Luther* (Vol. 2, pp. 61-164). Fortress Press.
- [11] Calvin, J. (1559). *Institutes of the Christian religion* (Book IV, Chapter 3). Westminster John Knox Press.
- [12] Sirait, M. A., & Sitanggang, P. M. (2025). Jabatan gerejawi dalam teologi Martin Luther: Suatu studi teologis terhadap posisi pelayan gereja. *Jurnal Teologi Pelayanan Kerusso*, 10(1), 78-95.
- [13] Roberts, V. S. (2012). *As one with authority: Reflective leadership in ministry*. Anglican

Theological Review, 94(3), 415-432.

[14] Roberts, V. S. (2012). As one with authority: Reflective leadership in ministry. *Anglican Theological Review*, 94(3), 420-425.

[15] Tagwirei, K. (2024). From fivefold to five-in-one ministry: Mega-ecclesiological leadership (dis)continuities in Southern Africa. *Religions*, 15(11), 1366. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel15111366>

[16] Lauren, K. S., & Henson, J. (2021). Jesus as humble servant. In *Servant leadership in organisations* (pp. 89-108). Springer.

[17] Roberts, V. S. (2012). As one with authority: Reflective leadership in ministry. *Anglican Theological Review*, 94(3), 415-432.

[18] Tagwirei, K. (2024). From fivefold to five-in-one ministry: Mega-ecclesiological leadership (dis)continuities in Southern Africa. *Religions*, 15(11), 1366.

[19] Lolowang, C. L., et al. (2023). Dinamika kepemimpinan pastoral dalam konteks manajemen gereja modern. *Jurnal Teologi*, 1(4), 45-62. <https://doi.org/10.61404/juitak.v1i4.190>

[20] Dykstra, D. S., Seminary, H., & Tennent, T. (2023). The link between religious ministry and theology: An analysis of influences, challenges, and cooperation in modern Christian communities. *Theological Studies*, 12(3), 78-95. <https://doi.org/10.35335/5nzdsw35>

[21] Jeunnette, C. P. (2010). *A pastoral theology of congregational care and leadership: Nurturing emergence* [Doctoral dissertation, University of Denver]. Digital Commons. <https://digitalcommons.du.edu/etd/833/>

[22] du Plessis, A. L., & Nkambule, C. M. (2020). Servant leadership as part of spiritual formation of theological students in contextualisation of 21st century theological training. *HTS Theologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 76(2), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.4102/HTS.V76I2.5959>

[23] Dykstra, D. S., Seminary, H., & Tennent, T. (2023). The link between religious ministry and theology: An analysis of influences, challenges, and cooperation in modern Christian communities. *Theological Studies*, 12(3), 78-95.

[24] Elkington, R., Meekins, D., Breen, J. M., & Martin, S. S. (2015). Leadership as an enabling function: Towards a new paradigm for local church leadership in the 21st century. *In die Skriflig*, 49(3), 1-11.

[25] Tinsley, M. A. (2012). *The ministry of service: A critical practico-theological examination of the ministry of presence and its reformulation for military chaplains* [Doctoral dissertation, Liberty University].

[26] Tinsley, M. A. (2013). *Service v. presence: Implementation of the ministry of service in the military chaplaincy - A receptivity and feasibility study* [Doctoral dissertation, Liberty University].

- [27] Tinsley, M. A. (2013). *Service v. presence: Implementation of the ministry of service in the military chaplaincy - A receptivity and feasibility study* [Doctoral dissertation, Liberty University].
- [28] Hove, R. (2025). Empowering church leaders for service in Africa: Situational holistic pastoral ministry paradigm. *In die Skriflig*, 59(1), 1-12.
- [29] Magezi, V. (2015). God-image of servant king as powerful but vulnerable and serving: Towards transforming African church leadership at an intersection of African kingship and biblical kingship to servant leadership. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 71(2), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.4102/HTS.V71I2.2907>
- [30] Magezi, V. (2015). God-image of servant king as powerful but vulnerable and serving: Towards transforming African church leadership at an intersection of African kingship and biblical kingship to servant leadership. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 71(2), 1-12.
- [31] Dames, G. E. (2017). Practical theology as embodiment of Christopraxis-servant leadership in Africa. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 73(2), 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.4102/HTS.V73I2.4364>
- [32] Mwambazambi, K. (2011). A missiological reflection on African ecclesiology. *Verbum et Ecclesia*, 32(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.4102/VE.V32I1.482>
- [33] Luís, J. B. G. (2023). O contributo das missões protestantes e do tokoísmo na libertação de Angola. *Revista Teológica*, 10(2), 156-178. <https://doi.org/10.5380/rt.v10i2.82299>
- [34] Hove, R. (2025). Empowering church leaders for service in Africa: Situational holistic pastoral ministry paradigm. *In die Skriflig*, 59(1), 1-12.
- [35] Luís, J. B. G. (2023). O contributo das missões protestantes e do tokoísmo na libertação de Angola. *Revista Teológica*, 10(2), 156-178.
- [36] du Plessis, A. L., & Nkambule, C. M. (2020). Servant leadership as part of spiritual formation of theological students in contextualisation of 21st century theological training. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 76(2), 1-10.
- [37] Blanes, R. L., & Sarró, R. (2015). Geração, presença e memória: A Igreja Tocoísta em Angola. *Etnográfica*, 19(2), 271-292. <https://doi.org/10.4000/ETNOGRAFICA.3948>
- [38] Blanes, R. L., & Sarró, R. (2015). Geração, presença e memória: A Igreja Tocoísta em Angola. *Etnográfica*, 19(2), 271-292.
- [39] Luís, J. B. G. (2023). O contributo das missões protestantes e do tokoísmo na libertação de Angola. *Revista Teológica*, 10(2), 156-178.
- [40] Luís, J. B. G. (2023). O contributo das missões protestantes e do tokoísmo na libertação de Angola. *Revista Teológica*, 10(2), 156-178.
- [41] Blanes, R. L., & Sarró, R. (2015). Geração, presença e memória: A Igreja Tocoísta em

Angola. *Etnográfica*, 19(2), 271-292.

[42] Dames, G. E. (2017). Practical theology as embodiment of Christopraxis-servant leadership in Africa. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 73(2), 1-8.

[43] du Plessis, A. L., & Nkambule, C. M. (2020). Servant leadership as part of spiritual formation of theological students in contextualisation of 21st century theological training. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 76(2), 1-10.

[44] Magezi, V. (2015). God-image of servant king as powerful but vulnerable and serving: Towards transforming African church leadership at an intersection of African kingship and biblical kingship to servant leadership. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 71(2), 1-12.

[45] Elkington, R., Meekins, D., Breen, J. M., & Martin, S. S. (2015). Leadership as an enabling function: Towards a new paradigm for local church leadership in the 21st century. *In die Skriflig*, 49(3), 1-11.

[46] Mwambazambi, K. (2011). A missiological reflection on African ecclesiology. *Verbum et Ecclesia*, 32(1), 1-9.

[47] Hove, R. (2025). Empowering church leaders for service in Africa: Situational holistic pastoral ministry paradigm. *In die Skriflig*, 59(1), 1-12.

[48] Dames, G. E. (2017). Practical theology as embodiment of Christopraxis-servant leadership in Africa. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 73(2), 1-8.

[49] Magezi, V. (2015). God-image of servant king as powerful but vulnerable and serving: Towards transforming African church leadership at an intersection of African kingship and biblical kingship to servant leadership. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 71(2), 1-12.

[50] du Plessis, A. L., & Nkambule, C. M. (2020). Servant leadership as part of spiritual formation of theological students in contextualisation of 21st century theological training. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 76(2), 1-10.